

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-------------------|-------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/750,644 | 01/02/2004 | | Alicia Bythewood | AB-2-js 1605 | | |
| 7590 12/30/2004 | | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Michael I. Kro | | | AMERSON, LORI BAKER | | | |
| Syosset, NY 11791 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3764 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicat | ion N | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | 10/290,7 | | CLARK, CLARENCE EDWARD | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examine | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | The MAN INC DATE of the | L Amers | | 3764 | | | | | |
| Period fo | Th MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply | cation appears on th | e cover shiet with this | corr spond nce ad | idress | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extraction. If days, a reply within the stautory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app | vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONE | imely filed sys will be considered timel in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | d on <i>11/8/02</i> | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | b)⊠ This action is i | non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appear to the above claim(s) <u>15,16,18 are</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>14 and 17</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restrict | n <u>d 19</u> is/are withdrav | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 November</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to | 2002 is/are: a) ☐ a tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi | be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 Cf | FR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| a)(| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of the None of the Priority of None of No | locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru | en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)). | tion No red in this National | Stage | | | | |
| Attachmen | · · | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔯 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: |)ate | D-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3, "with the with the" should read —with the--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- a. Claims 1, 4-5, 7-8, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lull et al. Lull et al disclose an apparatus having a supporting structure (42) with a bench platform (72), a drive assembly (fig. 5), a resistance (52) and a primary drive member (48) having a user contact pad (178). Regarding the language "for supporting at least a portion of a user's torso such that the user's body weight is primarily supported by the supporting structure", "positioned relative to the torso supporting structure", "such that the user contact pad is moveable between a first position wherein the user contact pad is located proximate to and above the support bench platform and a second position wherein the user contact pad is spaced from and approximately co-planar with the support bench platform, wherein movement of the primary drive member user contact pad from the first position to the second position causes the drive assembly to move against the resistance" has not been given patentable weight

Application/Control Number: 10/290,737

Art Unit: 3764

because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claims 4 and 20, the supporting structure is pivotal (fig. 2). As to claims 5 and 8, comprising a pair of primary drive members associated with the assembly (fig. 3-4). As to claim 7, see the paragraph for claim 1.

Additionally, Regarding the language, in lines 4-11 of claim 7 has not been given patentable weight because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - b. Claims 6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lull et al. Lull et al disclose all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for a secondary drive member. Regarding the language "such that the opposed secondary drive members are configured to simultaneously or independently move the resistance" has not been given patentable weight because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate another pair of drive members such that an additional assembly of drive members provide additional resistance to the user while exercising. As to claim 10, see the rejection above. Additionally, the

Application/Control Number: 10/290,737 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

path of the drive member is arcuate. As to claim 11, see the rejection above.

Additionally, the language has not been given patentable weight because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-3, 12-14 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 9-6 p.m. Interviews Tue. And Thur.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor is Justine Yu. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L. Amerson